Mayville State University Mayville, North Dakota

Audit Report for the Biennium Ended June 30, 2007 Client Code 24000

> Robert R. Peterson State Auditor



LEGISLATIVE AUDIT AND FISCAL REVIEW COMMITTEE MEMBERS

Representative Bob Skarphol – Chairman Senator Randel Christmann – Vice Chairman

Representatives

Ole Aarsvold
Larry Bellew
Merle Boucher
Kari L. Conrad
Jeff Delzer
Bette Grande
Patrick R. Hatlestad
RaeAnn G. Kelsch
Kenton Onstad
Louis Pinkerton
Blair Thoreson
Francis J. Wald

Senators

Dwight Cook Jerry Klein Judy Lee Harvey D. Tallackson

You may obtain reports by contacting the Division of State Audit at the following address:

Office of the State Auditor 600 East Boulevard Avenue – Department 117 Bismarck, ND 58505-0060 (701) 328-2241

Reports are also available on the internet at: www.nd.gov/auditor/

Contents

Transmittal Letter	1
Executive Summary	2
Introduction	2
Responses to LAFRC Audit Questions	3
LAFRC Audit Communications	3
Background Information	6
Audit Objectives, Scope, And Methodology	7
Discussion And Analysis	8
Financial Summary	8
Analysis of Significant Variances Between Final Budgeted and Actual Expenses	8
Financial Statements	9
Statement of Net Assets	9
Statement of Revenues, Expenses and Changes in Net Assets	10
Statement of Cash Flows	11
Statement of Appropriations	13
Internal Control	14
Approvals and Support	14
Compliance With Legislative Intent	16
Coaches Travel	17
Physical Inventory Of Property	18
Management Letter (Informal Recommendations)	19

Transmittal Letter

February 28, 2008

The Honorable John Hoeven, Governor

Members of the North Dakota Legislative Assembly

Dr. Gary Hagen, President, Mayville State University

We are pleased to submit this audit of Mayville State University for the biennium ended June 30, 2007. This audit resulted from the statutory responsibility of the State Auditor to audit or review each state agency once every two years. The same statute gives the State Auditor the responsibility to determine the contents of these audits.

In determining the contents of the audits of state agencies, the primary consideration was to determine how we could best serve the citizens of the state of North Dakota. Naturally we determined financial accountability should play an important part of these audits. Additionally, operational accountability is addressed whenever possible to increase efficiency and effectiveness of state government.

The in-charge auditor for this audit was Dave Feltman. John Grettum, CPA was the audit manager. Inquiries or comments relating to this audit may be directed to the audit manager by calling (701) 239-7289. We wish to express our appreciation to Dr. Hagen and his staff for the courtesy, cooperation, and assistance they provided to us during this audit.

Respectfully submitted,

Robert R. Peterson State Auditor

Executive Summary

INTRODUCTION

Mayville State University is one of North Dakota's original colleges, since its establishment was provided in the state constitution of 1889. Teachers Colleges (then called "Normal Schools") had grown rapidly since their introduction into the field of American higher education soon after the Civil War. Three times the legislature of the Dakota Territory had moved toward the establishment of colleges for teacher education, but none of these efforts bore fruit for the people of the area, which became North Dakota.

The North Dakota Constitutional Convention of 1889 located sites for the nine original state institutions and funded them by a 30,000 acre land grant, leaving organization to the First Legislative Assembly.

Classes of the Mayville Normal School began in 1890, with funds for a building ("Old Main") provided by the Second Legislative Assembly in early 1891, where classes were initially held in 1893. Since then, Mayville State has steadily grown and progressed. In 1925, the State Board of Higher Education (SBHE) authorized Mayville State to grant a Bachelor of Arts in Education. The Mayville Normal School thus became a "teacher's college," providing general education and offering a four-year degree. Successive additions strengthened the curriculum; and as enrollment grew, new buildings appeared.

In 1948, the B.A. in Education became a B.S. in Education and the first non-teaching Bachelor of Arts was offered in 1961. In 1973 and 1982, the college established programs in business administration and computer studies, respectively. In the early 1980's, the Bachelor of Science and Bachelor of General Studies became available. The present name, Mayville State University, was approved by the legislature in 1987.

More information can be obtained from the institution's home page at: http://www.mayvillestate.edu/.

RESPONSES TO LAFRC AUDIT QUESTIONS

The Legislative Audit and Fiscal Review Committee (LAFRC) requests that certain items be addressed by auditors performing audits of state agencies.

- What type of opinion was issued on the financial statements?
 Unqualified.
- 2. Was there compliance with statutes, laws, rules, and regulations under which the agency was created and is functioning?

Other than our findings addressing "Coaches Travel" and "Physical Inventory of Property" (see pages 17 and 18), we determined compliance with statutes, laws, rules, and regulations was adequate.

3. Was internal control adequate and functioning effectively?

Other than our finding addressing "Approvals and Support" (see page 14), we determined internal control was adequate.

4. Were there any indications of lack of efficiency in financial operations and management of the agency?

No.

5. Has action been taken on findings and recommendations included in prior audit reports?

Yes.

6. Was a management letter issued? If so, provide a summary below, including any recommendations and the management responses.

Yes, a management letter was issued and is included on page 19 of this report, along with management's response.

LAFRC AUDIT COMMUNICATIONS

1. Identify any significant changes in accounting policies, any management conflicts of interest, any contingent liabilities, or any significant unusual transactions.

Mayville State University has several related parties where management serves on the board of directors of the related parties. The President of Mayville State University serves on the board of directors of the following related parties: MaSU Foundation, Comet Athletic Club, and the Alumni Association. The Vice President of Business Affairs serves on the board of directors of the Comet Athletic Club.

2. Identify any significant accounting estimates, the process used by management to formulate the accounting estimates, and the basis for the auditor's conclusions regarding the reasonableness of those estimates.

The most significant accounting estimates used by Mayville State University include: useful lives of capital assets and allowance for uncollectible receivables. Estimated useful lives are used to compute depreciation on capital assets. Management's estimate of the allowance for uncollectible receivables is based on aging categories and past history. We evaluated the key factors and assumptions used to develop the allowances in determining that it is reasonable in relation to the financial statements taken as a whole.

3. Identify any significant audit adjustments.

In our fiscal years 2007 and 2006 North Dakota University System audit reports, all of the material adjustments we proposed for Mayville State University were recorded. See Posted Audit Adjustments 10 and 12 on page 24 of the 2007 North Dakota University System audit report and Posted Audit Adjustment 11 on page 17 of the 2006 report.

4. Identify any disagreements with management, whether or not resolved to the auditor's satisfaction relating to a financial accounting, reporting, or auditing matter that could be significant to the financial statements.

None.

5. Identify any serious difficulties encountered in performing the audit.

None.

6. Identify any major issues discussed with management prior to retention.

This is not applicable for audits conducted by the Office of the State Auditor.

7. Identify any management consultations with other accountants about auditing and accounting matters.

None.

8. Identify any high-risk information technology systems critical to operations based on the auditor's overall assessment of the importance of the system to the agency and its mission, or whether any exceptions identified in the six audit report questions to be addressed by the auditors are directly related to the operations of an information technology system.

ConnectND Finance, Human Resource Management System (HRMS), and Student Administration are high-risk information technology systems critical to Mayville State University. None of the exceptions noted in the seven audit report findings were directly related to the information technology system.

Background Information

The mission of Mayville State University is to educate and guide students, as individuals, so they may realize their full career potential and enhance their lives. They do this in an environment that reflects their tradition of personal service, commitment to innovative technology-enriched education, and dynamic learning relationships with community, employers, and society. As a member of the North Dakota University System, Mayville State University offers undergraduate degrees.

The vision of Mayville State University is to be known for continuing academic excellence in a cooperative, enjoyable, learning environment that anticipates and responds to individual needs.

The purposes of Mayville State University are to maximize:

- Collaborative relationships with schools, employers, and communities that contribute to the economic growth and social vitality of North Dakota;
- Effective support for appropriate applications of information technologies to instruction and administration;
- Academic programs and services that address real-world career and North Dakota workforce opportunities;
- Innovative, flexible delivery of instruction that meets the needs of the individual;
- Enrollment and revenue development through retention, recruiting, and new opportunities;
- Assessment and improvement of student learning outcomes and institutional effectiveness.

Audit Objectives, Scope, And Methodology

Audit Objectives

The objectives of this audit of Mayville State University for the biennium ended June 30, 2007 were to provide reliable, audited financial statements and to answer the following questions:

- 1. What are the most important areas of Mayville State University's operations and is internal control adequate in these areas?
- 2. What are the significant and high-risk areas of legislative intent applicable to Mayville State University and are they in compliance with these laws?
- 3. Are there areas of Mayville State University's operations where we can help to improve efficiency or effectiveness?

Audit Scope

This audit of Mayville State University for the biennium ended June 30, 2007 was conducted in accordance with *Government Auditing Standards*, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States. To meet the objectives outlined above, we:

Audit Methodology

- Prepared condensed financial statements from the data used in the North Dakota University System's financial statement audits and developed a discussion and analysis of the financial statements.
- Tested and analyzed samples of expenses, direct general ledger transactions (ONL's), and receipts.
- Performed detailed analytical procedures related to statement of net asset items, revenue and expense items, and statement of cash flow items.
- Reviewed prior year audit workpapers.
- Interviewed appropriate agency personnel.
- Reviewed Mayville State University written plans and applicable manuals.
- Observed Mayville State University's processes and procedures.
- Reviewed applicable sections in the North Dakota Century Code (NDCC), North Dakota Constitution, and appropriate session laws.
- Reviewed applicable meeting minutes.

Discussion And Analysis

The accompanying financial statements do not have the disclosures required by generally accepted accounting principles (GAAP) and have been prepared in a condensed form to present Mayville State University's financial position and the results of operations in a manner similar to that used for financial reporting in the private sector. Accordingly, the accompanying financial statements are not intended to be presented in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles.

For the biennium ended June 30, 2007, operations of Mayville State University were primarily supported by appropriations from the state's general fund (36%); student tuition and fees (21%); federal grants and contracts (18%); and auxiliary enterprises (14%). Resources were mainly used to pay salaries and wages (58%).

FINANCIAL SUMMARY

Operating revenues consisted primarily of student tuition and fees, as well as federal grants and contracts and auxiliary enterprises. Nonoperating revenues consisted mainly of state appropriations and gifts. These revenues remained fairly consistent between fiscal years 2007 and 2006, except for auxiliary enterprises which decreased over \$258,000 due mainly to less revenue from residence halls and book sales. Total revenues were \$12,950,149 for the year ended June 30, 2007 as compared to \$13,346,396 for the year ended June 30, 2006.

Total expenses for Mayville State University were \$12,744,861 for the year ended June 30, 2007 as compared to \$12,743,712 for the prior year. These expenses remained fairly consistent except for an increase in other nonoperating expenses of over \$368,000 due mainly to the lease of new notebook computers.

ANALYSIS OF SIGNIFICANT VARIANCES BETWEEN FINAL BUDGETED AND ACTUAL EXPENSES

Mayville State University had no significant variances for the general fund expenses as reflected on the statement of appropriations.

Financial Statements

STATEMENT OF NET ASSETS

	Ju	ıne 30, 2007	Ju	ıne 30, 2006
ASSETS				
Cash and cash equivalents	\$	1,481,569	\$	881,428
Investments		162,247		158,739
Accounts receivable, net		205,639		134,088
Receivable from component units		12,979		107,019
Due from state general fund				118,704
Grants and contracts receivables, net		208,171		245,633
Inventories		208,621		201,327
Notes receivable, net		703,811		697,784
Unamortized bond discount and cost of issuance		2,081		2,081
Other assets		37,405		55,584
Capital assets, net		10,189,303		10,514,494
Total assets	\$	13,211,826	\$	13,116,881
LIABILITIES				
Accounts payable and accrued liabilities	\$	415,636	\$	216,769
Accrued payroll		439,314		392,578
Deferred revenue		87,702		83,151
Deposits		187,793		444,283
Due to others		4,551,072		4,655,079
Total liabilities	\$	5,681,517	\$	5,791,860
NET ASSETS				
Invested in capital assets, net of related debt	\$	5,942,176	\$	6,178,732
Restricted for:				
Nonexpendable:				
Scholarships and fellowships		4,000		10,281
Expendable:				
Scholarships and fellowships		9,491		(26,641)
Instructional department uses		26,947		58,952
Loans		747,120		736,221
Debt service		168,381		156,749
Unrestricted		632,194		210,727
Total net assets	\$	7,530,309	\$	7,325,021

STATEMENT OF REVENUES, EXPENSES, AND CHANGES IN NET ASSETS

	Ju	ne 30, 2007	June 30, 2006		
OPERATING REVENUES					
Student tuition and fees	\$	2,753,354	\$	2,771,926	
Local appropriations		5,000			
Federal grants and contracts		2,257,397		2,399,344	
State and local grants and contracts		15,087		11,500	
Nongovernmental grants and contracts		(20,477)			
Sales and services of educational departments		619,321		674,232	
Auxiliary enterprises		1,663,950		1,922,658	
Other		7,884		11,933	
Total operating revenues	\$	7,301,516	_\$_	7,791,593	
OPERATING EXPENSES					
Salaries and wages	\$	7,241,356	\$	7,544,749	
Operating expenses		2,952,103		3,088,125	
Data processing		149,726		21,309	
Depreciation		687,074		681,601	
Scholarships and fellowships		362,053		442,318	
Cost of sales and services		622,453		615,189	
Total operating expenses	\$	12,014,765	\$	12,393,291	
Operating loss	\$	(4,713,249)	_\$_	(4,601,698)	
NONOPERATING REVENUES (EXPENSES)					
State appropriations	\$	4,581,932	\$	4,506,800	
Gifts		655,707		449,950	
Investment income		104,707		113,341	
Interest on capital asset-related debt		(233,353)		(242,318)	
Insurance proceeds		9,470			
Tax proceeds		174,336		162,774	
Other nonoperating revenues (expenses)		(476,266)		(108,103)	
Net nonoperating revenues		4,816,533		4,882,444	
Income before capital grants, gifts,	•	400.004	•	000 745	
and transfers	\$_	103,284		280,746	
State appropriations-capital assets	\$	79,372	\$	316,438	
Capital grants and gifts		22,632		5,500	
Total other revenue	\$	102,004	\$	321,938	
Increase in net assets	\$	205,288	\$	602,684	
NET ASSETS					
Net Assets-beginning of the year	\$	7,325,021	\$	6,722,337	
Net Assets-end of the year	\$	7,530,309	\$	7,325,021	
	_	-	_		

STATEMENT OF CASH FLOWS

	hi	ne 30, 2007	June 30, 2006	
CASH FLOWS FROM OPERATING ACTIVITIES	Ju	116 30, 2007		116 30, 2000
Student tuition and fees	\$	2,749,240	\$	2,731,336
Grants and contracts	Ψ	2,289,469	Ψ	2,642,490
Payments to suppliers		(3,549,951)		(3,905,343)
Payments to employees		(7,242,987)		(7,540,429)
Payments for scholarships and fellowships		(362,053)		(442,318)
Loans issued to students		(155,662)		(145,014)
Collection of loans to students		132,795		164,017
Auxiliary enterprise charges		1,679,688		2,022,632
Sales and service of educational departments		610,335		696,278
Cash collected (paid) on deposits		(63,007)		24,059
Other receipts		26,063		3,470
Net cash used by operating activities	\$	(3,886,070)	\$	(3,748,822)
Net cash used by operating activities	Ψ	(3,000,070)	Ψ	(3,740,022)
CASH FLOWS FROM NONCAPITAL FINANCING ACTIVITIES				
State appropriations	\$	4,700,636	\$	4,352,511
Grants and gifts received for other than capital purposes	Ψ	655,707	Ψ	449,950
Agency fund cash (decrease)		(108,260)		(42,727)
Tax proceeds		174,336		, ,
•		•		162,774
Other nonoperating revenue (expenses)	\$	50,731	\$	(115,180)
Net cash flows provided by noncapital financing activities	Φ	5,473,150	Φ_	4,807,328
CASH FLOWS FROM CAPITAL AND RELATED FINANCING ACTIV	TIF	s		
Capital appropriations	\$ \$	79,372	\$	352,023
Capital appropriations Capital grants and gifts received	Ψ	22,632	Ψ	5,500
Purchases of capital assets		(366,398)		(190,728)
Insurance proceeds		9,470		(130,720)
Principal paid on capital debt and lease		(597,551)		(719,109)
Deposits with capital debt payment trustees		(3,508)		18,276
Interest paid on capital debt and lease		(235,663)		(244,954)
Net cash used by capital and related financing activities	\$	(1,091,646)	\$	(778,992)
Net cash used by capital and related illiancing activities	Ψ	(1,091,040)	Ψ	(110,992)
CASH FLOWS FROM INVESTING ACTIVITIES				
Proceeds from sales and maturities of investments	\$	735	\$	134,292
Interest on investments	Ψ	104,707	Ψ	113,341
Purchase of investments		(735)		(6,003)
Net cash provided by investing activities	\$	104,707	\$	241,630
Net increase (decrease) in cash	\$	600,141	\$	521,144
CASH - BEGINNING OF YEAR	Ψ	881,428	Ψ	360,284
CASH - BEGINNING OF YEAR CASH - END OF YEAR	\$	1,481,569	\$	·
CAOIT- LIND OF TEAR	Ψ	1,401,309	Φ	881,428

(Continued from previous page)

RECONCILIATION OF NET OPERATING REVENUES (EXPENSES) TC)			
NET CASH PROVIDED (USED) BY OPERATING ACTIVITIES	Ju	ne 30, 2007	June 30, 2006		
Operating loss	\$	(4,713,249)	\$	(4,601,698)	
Adjustments to reconcile net income (loss) to net cash					
provided (used) by operating activities					
Depreciation expense		687,074		681,601	
Change in assets and liabilities:					
Accounts receivable adjusted for interest receivable		(6,913)		97,344	
Grant & contract receivables		37,462		231,646	
Inventories		(7,294)		(22,068)	
Notes receivable		(6,027)		70,334	
Other assets		18,179		(7,631)	
Accounts payable and accrued liabilities adjusted					
for interest payable		164,784		(210,815)	
Accrued payroll		31,819		9,025	
Compensated absences		(33,450)		(4,705)	
Deferred revenue		4,552		(15,914)	
Deposits		(63,007)		24,059	
Net cash used by operating activities	\$	(3,886,070)	\$	(3,748,822)	
SUPPLEMENTAL DISCLOSURE ON NONCASH TRANSACTIONS					
Assets acquired through capital leases			\$	120,872	
Expenses paid by capital lease/special assessments	\$	526,994			
Total non-cash transactions	\$	526,994	\$	120,872	

STATEMENT OF APPROPRIATIONS

For The Biennium Ended June 30, 2007

	Original			Final		U	nexpended
Expenses by line item:	Appropriation	Ad	justments	Appropriation	Expenses	Αŗ	opropriation
Operating expenses	\$ 9,003,630	\$	91,922	\$ 9,095,552	\$ 9,095,552		
Capital assets	1,858,994			1,858,994	437,264	\$	1,421,730
Capital assets - carryover			24,205	24,205			24,205
Biennium carryover			19,795	19,795			19,795
Totals	\$ 10,862,624	\$	135,922	\$10,998,546	\$ 9,532,816	\$	1,465,730
Expenses by source:							
General fund	\$ 9,362,624	\$	135,922	\$ 9,498,546	\$ 9,498,546		
Special fund	1,500,000			1,500,000	34,270	\$	1,465,730
Totals	\$ 10,862,624	\$	135,922	\$10,998,546	\$ 9,532,816	\$	1,465,730

Appropriation Adjustments:

Operating expenses

The \$91,922 adjustment is for transfers from the NDUS contingency fund for presidential search expenses (\$47,692), facility/energy assessment (\$41,653) and disabled student services (\$2,577). These transfers were authorized by Senate Bill 2003 section 8 of the 2005 session laws.

Capital assets - carryover

The \$24,205 adjustment is part of the prior biennium's unspent general fund of \$44,000. The remainder is shown in biennium carryover as explained below.

Biennium carryover

The \$19,795 adjustment is the remainder of the prior biennium's unspent general fund as explained above.

Internal Control

In our audit for the biennium ended June 30, 2007, we identified the following areas of Mayville State University's internal control as being the most important:

Internal Controls Subjected To Testing

- Controls surrounding the processing of revenues.
- Controls surrounding the processing of expenses.
- Controls effecting the safeguarding of assets.
- Controls relating to compliance with laws and legislative intent.
- Controls surrounding the ConnectND (PeopleSoft) system.

We gained an understanding of internal control surrounding these areas and concluded as to the adequacy of their design. We also tested the operating effectiveness of those controls we considered significant. We concluded that internal control was not adequate, noting certain matters involving internal control and its operation that we consider to be reportable conditions. Reportable conditions involve matters coming to our attention relating to significant deficiencies in the design or operation of internal control that, in our judgment, could adversely affect Mayville State University's operations or ability to record, process, summarize, and report financial data consistent with the assertions of management in financial statements. The reportable conditions are described below. We also noted other matters involving internal control that we have reported to management of Mayville State University in the management letter located on page 19 of this report.

Finding 07-1

APPROVALS AND SUPPORT

We noted the following conditions when testing direct general ledger transactions (ONL's):

- 1. Twenty three of 32 ONL's were not properly approved. Of the 23 ONL's not properly approved, 15 had no approval and eight were approved by the preparer.
- 2. Six of 32 ONL's had no support attached to the document.

Good internal controls require approval by an authorized person, other than the preparer, of all transactions that affect the general ledger. For this approval to be meaningful, adequate supporting documents should be attached to the document. Failure to properly review and approve transactions increases the risk of undetected errors, fraud and theft.

Audit Recommendation and Agency Response

Recommendation:

We recommend that Mayville State University properly approve and attach supporting documents to ONL transactions.

Mayville State University Response:

Mayville State has implemented the necessary changes including dual authorization of journal entries and review of support documentation.

Compliance With Legislative Intent

In our audit for the biennium ended June 30, 2007, we identified and tested Mayville State University's compliance with legislative intent for the following areas that we determined to be significant and of higher risk of noncompliance:

Legislative Intent Subjected To Testing

- Proper use/approval of clearing account and petty cash/till funds (NDCC 54-06-08.1 and Attorney General's opinion dated September 11, 1987).
- BND used as credit card processing depository (NDCC 54-06-08.2).
- Deposit and expenses of gifts to a state institution (Article IX, Section 1 of the North Dakota Constitution; Attorney General's opinion dated September 13, 1963; NDCC 1-08-02, 1-08-04, 15-10-12,15-67-01, 15-67-04, 15-67-05 and 15-67-07).
- Lease and financing arrangements in budget requests and lease analysis requirements (NDCC 54-44.1-06 and 54-27-21.1).
- Inventory records and reporting (NDCC 44-04-07 and 54-27-21).
- Expenses being within budgeted amounts (NDCC 54-44.1-09, Article X, Section 12 of North Dakota Constitution and Attorney General's opinion dated January 6, 1977).
- Travel-related expenses are made in accordance with state statute (NDCC 44-08-04, 44-08-04.1-.5, 44-08-04.3, and 54-06-09 parts 1a, 1b, 3, 4, 5, and 6).
- Purchasing including bidding (NDCC 54-44.4-01, 54-44.4-05, 54-44.4-06, 54-44.7-02, 54-44.4-02, 44-08-01 and 48-01.1-03).
- Conflict of interest (NDCC 12.1-13-03 and 48-02-12).
- Carryover of unexpended appropriations (NDCC 54-44.1-11).
- Adequate blanket bond coverage (NDCC 26.1-21-08).
- Unclaimed property laws (NDCC 47-30.1-04, 47-30.1-02.1, 47-30.1-03.1 and 47-30.1-05).
- Nepotism (NDCC 44-04-09).
- Bond revenues and reserves (NDCC 15-55-03 and 15-55-06).
- Misapplication of entrusted property (NDCC 12.1-23-07).

The results of our tests disclosed two instances of noncompliance that are required to be reported under *Government Auditing Standards*. These findings are described below. Other than these findings, we concluded there was compliance with the legislative intent identified above.

Finding 07-2

COACHES TRAVEL

MaSU coaches are not required to complete individual travel reimbursement vouchers when traveling with their respective teams. Their expenses are included as part of team travel and charged to account 521105, Travel – Students, rather than being charged as employee expenses. For our audit period, the total expenses charged to account 521105 were over \$230,000.

State Board of Higher Education (NDUS Human Resource Policy Manual section 31) requires employees who are authorized to travel at institutional expense, to submit a detailed record of travel expenses on a travel reimbursement voucher. Following those policies provides the means for complying with state statute including NDCC sections:

- 44-08-04 which provides the allowable reimbursement rates for employees and states employees who are authorized to travel at institutional expense are required to make a claim including a lodging receipt. (Current procedures throughout the state are to make claim(s) by submitting a detailed record of travel expenses on a travel voucher.)
- 44-08-05.1 (1) which states any public officer or employee who
 has the power to approve a payment for travel expenses or
 any other expenditure of public funds shall determine before
 approving the payment: If for employee travel reimbursement,
 the sums claimed for travel expenses are actually due the
 individual who is seeking reimbursement, allowance, or
 payment.

Audit Recommendation and Agency Response

Recommendation:

We recommend that MaSU:

- 1. Comply with State Board of Higher Education travel policies and require all employees, including coaches, to submit a detailed record of travel expenses, as an employee, on a travel reimbursement voucher for all travel.
- 2. Code employee travel to the appropriate employee travel account numbers.

Mayville State University Response:

Mayville State is currently working on implementing credit card purchasing/travel procedures. This will eliminate travel advances for coaches and require that coaches pay for their expenses and request reimbursement per SBHE travel policies.

Finding 07-3

PHYSICAL INVENTORY OF PROPERTY

An inventory of property was not taken by MaSU during fiscal year 2006 or fiscal year 2007.

NDCC section 44-04-07 states that the person in charge of any state institution shall maintain a complete and current inventory record of all property of sufficient value and permanence as to render such inventory record practical. Each year such person shall make a complete inventory of all such property, and shall maintain such inventory, with the person's certificate thereto attached. Said inventory record must provide a comprehensive description of each item, together with manufacturer's serial number, or other means of positive identification, and must include statements of all property disposed of by any means whatsoever and must be in such form and detail as may be prescribed by the department charged with the duty of auditing or examining such records.

Audit Recommendation and Agency Response

Recommendation:

We recommend that MaSU comply with NDCC 44-04-07 and complete a physical inventory of property each year, with the person's approval thereto attached.

Mayville State University Response:

Mayville State will improve physical inventory counts.

Management Letter (Informal Recommendations)

February 28, 2008

Mr. Steven Bensen Vice President of Business Affairs Mayville State University 330 Third Street NE Mayville, ND 58257

Dear Mr. Bensen:

We have performed an audit of Mayville State University for the biennium ended June 30, 2007, and have issued a report thereon. As part of our audit, we gained an understanding of Mayville State University's internal control structure to the extent we considered necessary to achieve our audit objectives. We also performed tests of compliance as described in the same report.

Our audit procedures are designed primarily to enable us to report on our objectives including those related to internal control and compliance with laws and regulations and may not bring to light all weaknesses in systems and procedures or noncompliance with laws and regulations which may exist. We aim, however, to use our knowledge of your organization gained during our work to make comments and suggestions which we hope will be useful to you.

In connection with the audit, gaining an understanding of the internal control structure, and tests of compliance with laws and regulations referred to above, we noted certain conditions we did not consider reportable within the context of your audit report. These conditions relate to areas of general business practice or control issues that have no significant bearing on the administration of state, private, or federal funds. We do, however, want to present our recommendations to you for your consideration and whatever follow-up action you consider appropriate. During the next audit we will determine if these recommendations have been implemented, and if not, we will reconsider their status as non-reportable conditions.

The following present our informal recommendations.

CASH COUNTS

Informal Recommendation 07-1:

MaSU did not do any surprise counts of petty cash or till funds during the two-year audit period.

We recommend that MaSU perform surprise counts of petty cash on at least an annual basis.

Mayville State University Response:

The Mayville State Bookstore returns cash to the business office on a daily basis and the deposit and starting banks are prepared by the business office, thus making a surprise cash count futile.

The Mayville State Dining Services will continue to submit banks to us when the services close for the year. We will also implement quarterly surprise cash counts starting in the Fall 2008.

TRAVEL POLICY UPDATES

Informal Recommendation 07-2:

MaSU did not update their travel policy to include the current reimbursement rates for lodging, mileage, or meals.

We recommend that MaSU's policy manual regarding travel be updated to reflect the current reimbursement rates in compliance with 44-08-04 and 54-06-09, and that procedures be implemented to assure the policy manual is kept up to date.

Mayville State University Response:

Mayville State will review and update this policy annually.

USE, TRANSFER, AND DISPOSAL OF PUBLIC PROPERTY

Informal Recommendation 07-3:

MaSU adopted SBHE policies, but these policies do not contain a policy prohibiting the disposal, use, or transfer of any public property in an unauthorized manner, except for the disposal or transfer of real property and the use of state vehicles. Also, there is no policy that requires written approval and acknowledgement of responsibilities before any equipment can leave campus.

We recommend that MaSU create and implement policies and procedures regarding the proper disposal, use, and transfer of public property, as well as requiring written approval and acknowledgement of responsibilities regarding equipment that leaves the campus.

Mayville State University Response:

Mayville State will review SBHE policies and implement any necessary policies and procedures regarding the proper disposal, use, and transfer of public property.

LEASE PAYMENTS

Informal Recommendation 07-4:

One of 32 expense items tested was not properly supported. A lease payment of \$5,277 was processed for 11 copiers even though, for one copier, there was no lease to support a payment of \$252 and for another copier, there was an overpayment of \$73 because the incorrect overage rate was used.

We recommend that MaSU verify and agree lease payments to original documents.

Mayville State University Response:

Mayville State has implemented the recommended changes prior to the informal audit recommendations.

I encourage you to call me (701) 239-7289 if you have any questions about the implementation of recommendations included in your audit report or this letter.

Sincerely,

John Grettum, CPA Audit Manager